	Case 1:20-cv-00426-DAD-EPG Document	71 Filed 04/27/20 Page 1 of 7		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California ROBERT W. BYRNE Senior Assistant Attorney General TRACY L. WINSOR (SBN 186164) Supervising Deputy Attorney General COLLEEN R. FLANNERY (SBN 297957) DANIEL M. FUCHS (SBN 179033) ADAM LEVITAN (SBN 280226) L. ELIZABETH SARINE (SBN 285631) SARA D. VAN LOH (SBN 264704) Deputy Attorneys General 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Tel: (510) 879-3139 Fax: (510) 622-2270 E-mail: Sara.VanLoh@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Plaintiffs California Natural Resources Agency, California Environmental Protection Agency, and People of the State of			
11 12	California by and through Xavier Becerra, Attor General of the State of California	ney		
13	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
14	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
15				
16	THE CALIFORNIA NATURAL	Case No. 1:20-cv-00426-DAD-EPG		
17	RESOURCES AGENCY, et al., Plaintiffs,	Related Case No. 1:20-cv-00431-DAD-EPG		
18	v.			
19		STIPULATION AND ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND PAGE		
20	WILBUR ROSS, et al.,	LIMITS FOR PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION		
21	Defendants.	Date: May 7, 2020		
22 23		Time: TBD Dept: 5 Judge: Hon. Dale A. Drozd		
24		Trial Date: Not set Action Filed: February 20, 2020		
25		11000111100. 1001001 20, 2020		
26				
27				
28		1		

Case 1:20-cv-00426-DAD-EPG Document 71 Filed 04/27/20 Page 2 of 7

1	This stipulation is entered by Plaintiffs the California Natural Resources Agency, the	
2	California Environmental Protection Agency, and the People of the State of California by and	
3	through California Attorney General Xavier Becerra (collectively, "Plaintiffs"); Defendants	
4	Wilbur Ross in his official capacity, Chris Oliver in his official capacity, David Bernhardt in his	
5	official capacity, Aurelia Skipwith in her official capacity, Brenda Burman in her official	
6	capacity, the National Marine Fisheries Service, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, and	
7	the United States Bureau of Reclamation (collectively, the "Federal Defendants"); Intervenor-	
8	Defendants the Sacramento River Settlement Contractors and the Tehama-Colusa Canal	
9	Authority (collectively, the "Sacramento River Intervenors"); Intervenor-Defendants the South	
10	San Joaquin Irrigation District and Oakdale Irrigation District ("SSJID" and "OID," respectively):	
11	Intervenor-Defendant the State Water Contractors; Intervenor-Defendants the San Luis & Delta-	
12	Mendota Water Authority and Westlands Water District; and Intervenor-Defendants the Friant	
13	Water Authority ("FWA") and Arvin-Edison Water Storage District ("Arvin-Edison")	
14	(collectively, the "Friant Intervenors"). All Intervenor Defendants collectively are referred to	
15	herein as the "Intervenor-Defendants."	
16	Plaintiffs, the Federal Defendants, and the Intervenor-Defendants are collectively referred	
17	to hereinafter as the "Parties."	
18	RECITALS	
19	WHEREAS on February 20, 2020, Plaintiffs filed the instant action naming only the	
20	Federal Defendants as Defendants. See ECF No. 1.	
21	WHEREAS on April 21, 2020, Plaintiffs filed a first amended complaint, a motion for	
22	preliminary injunction with a hearing date of May 19, 2020, and an ex parte application for an	
23	order shortening time for the hearing of that motion, along with accompanying documents. ECF	
24	Nos. 51–60.	

25

WHEREAS on April 22, 2020, the Court entered a minute order reading:

26 27

The court has received and reviewed plaintiffs' ex parte request to shorten time 52 on the recently-filed motion for preliminary injunction (PI) 54. Given the preliminary showing therein indicating that irreparable harm may ensue as early as May 11, 2020, the court intends to set a joint hearing on the PI motion and on the parallel PI motion in the companion case Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations v. Ross,

28

Case 1:20-cv-00426-DAD-EPG Document 71 Filed 04/27/20 Page 3 of 7

1 1:20-cv-00431 DAD EPG, for either May 5, 6, or 7, 2020. Given the numbers of parties involved, the court would prefer that all parties in both cases meet and confer 2 immediately in an effort to reach a stipulation to one or two proposed time(s) and date(s) for the hearing that best suit their schedules. The court also requests that the 3 parties attempt to reach agreement as to a briefing schedule that would give the court at least 7 calendar days prior to the hearing date to review any opposition(s) and at 4 least four calendar days to review any replies. The parties are cautioned that, in light of this accelerated timeline, limiting participation by the intervenors to briefing of 5 issues that are truly unique to those intervenors will be necessary. For example, the court does not anticipate having sufficient time to review declarations from intervenor experts who plan to address issues already covered by the federal defendants, even if 6 those expert opinions diverge from federal defendants' expert opinions. Such nuanced 7 differences must, as a matter of practical reality, wait until briefing on the merits takes place. Thus, any submission by intervenors must be strictly limited to issues and 8 opinions truly unique to the intervenor. Any stipulation should take this into account or the court will consider imposing such constraints sua sponte. 9 ECF NO. 63. 10 WHEREAS the Parties have met and conferred as directed by the Court. 11 WHEREAS the Parties agree on a hearing date of May 7, 2020. 12

WHEREAS the Parties have reached agreement on a briefing schedule as follows: Federal Defendants and Intervenor-Defendants must file any opposition(s) by noon Pacific Daylight Time ("PDT") on April 30, 2020; Plaintiffs may file a reply brief until 11:59 PDT on May 3, 2020; recognizing that the court directed the parties to allow four days between the reply brief and the hearing, Plaintiffs will make every effort to file their reply brief sooner, if possible.

WHEREAS Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants agree that Federal Defendants' opposition brief will be limited to no more than 25 pages.

WHEREAS Plaintiffs and Intervenor-Defendants agree that Intervenor-Defendants' oppositions will be limited to no more than seven pages each.

WHEREAS the Sacramento River Intervenors have committed to filing a one-page combined opposition brief.

WHEREAS the Parties agree that Plaintiffs may file a single reply brief of no more than 15 pages, plus three pages for each Intervenor-Defendant opposition timely filed, except that Plaintiffs are entitled to only one extra page in reply to the Sacramento River Intervenors' one-page combined opposition brief.

28

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	Casc 1.20	0-cv-00420-DAD-EI O Documei	111 71 1 1100 04/27/20 1 age 4 01 7	
1	STIPULATION			
2	NOV	NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated by and between the Parties, through their		
3	respective counsel, as follows:			
4	1.	The hearing date on Plaintiffs' mo	tion for preliminary injunction may be set for May	
5	7, 2020, at a time to be determined by the court.			
6	2.	2. Federal Defendants and Intervenor-Defendants must file any opposition briefs by		
7	noon PDT on April 30, 2020; Plaintiffs may file a reply brief until 11:59 p.m. PDT on May 3,			
8	2020, and will make every effort to file their reply brief sooner, if possible.			
9	3.	Federal Defendants' opposition br	ief will be limited to no more than 25 pages.	
0	4. Intervenor-Defendants' oppositions will be limited to no more than seven pages each.			
1	5.	5. The Sacramento River Intervenors will file a one-page combined opposition brief.		
2	6. Plaintiffs may file a single reply brief of no more than 15 pages, plus three pages for			
3	each Intervenor-Defendant opposition timely filed, except that Plaintiffs are entitled to only one			
4	extra page in reply to the Sacramento River Intervenors' one-page combined opposition brief.			
5	Dated: A _I	pril 27, 2020	Respectfully submitted,	
6			XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	
7		•	TRACY L. WINSOR Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
8			Supervising Separty Parenty Seneral	
9			/S/ Daniel M. Fuchs	
20			DANIEL M. FUCHS Deputy Attorney General	
21			Attorneys for Plaintiffs California Natural Resources Agency,	
22			California Environmental Protection Agency, and People of the State of California by and	
23			through Attorney General Xavier Becerra	
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

	Case 1:20-cv-00426-DAD-EPG	Document 71 Filed 04/27/20 Page 5 of 7
1	DATED: April 27, 2020	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
2		ENVIRONMENTAL & NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION, WILDLIFE & MARINE RESOURCES SECTION
3		RESOURCES SECTION
4		By: <u>/S/ Lesley Lawrence-Hammer</u> LESLEY LAWRENCE-HAMMER
5		Attorneys for Federal Defendants
6	DATED: April 27, 2020	DOWNEY BRAND LLP
7	1	By:/S/ Merdith Nikkel
8		MEREDITH NIKKEL
9		Attorneys for Proposed Defendants-Intervenors RECLAMATION DISTRICT NO. 108, SUTTER
10		MUTUAL WATER COMPANY; NATOMAS CENTRAL MUTUAL WATER COMPANY;
11		RIVER GARDEN FARMS WATER COMPANY; PLEASANT GROVE-VERONA MUTUAL
12	WATER COMPANY; PELGER MUTUAL WATER COMPANY; MERIDIAN FARMS	
13		WATER COMPANY; HENRY D. RICHTER, et al.; HOWALD FARMS, INC.; OJI BROTHERS
14	FARM, INC.; OJI FAMILY PARTNERSHIP; CARTER MUTUAL WATER COMPANY;	
15		WINDSWEPT LAND AND LIVESTOCK COMPANY; MAXWELL IRRIGATION
16		
17		
18		IRRIGATION DISTRICT and TEHAMACOLUSA CANAL AUTHORITY
19		
20		
21	DATED: April 27, 2020	SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN
22	•	By: /S/ Andrew Hitchings ANDREW HITCHINGS
23		Attorneys for Defendants-Intervenors GLENN
24		COLUSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT; RECLAMATION DISTRICT NO. 104;
25		CONAWAY PRESERVATION GROUP, LLC; DAVID AND ALICE to VELDE FAMILY TRUST; DELICED BOAD 1700 LLC: ANDERSON
2627		PELGER ROAD 1700, LLC; ANDERSON- COTTONWOOD IRRIGATION DISTRICT; CITY OF REDDING; and KNIGHTS LANDING
28		INVESTORS, LLC
20		

	Case 1:20-cv-00426-DAD-EPG	Doc	ument 71 Filed 04/27/20 Page 6 of 7
1	DATED: April 27, 2020		O'LAUGHLIN & PARIS, LLP
2		By:	/S/Timathy I Wasiowski
3		Бу.	/S/ Timothy J. Wasiewski TIMOTHY J. WASIEWSKI Attorneys for Proposed Defendant-Intervenor OAKDALE IRRIGATION DISTRICT
5			
6	DATED: April 27, 2020		ROBBINS, BROWNING, GODWIN & MARCHINI
7		Drn	/S/ Variath Dalhing
8		By:	/S/ Kenneth Robbins KENNETH ROBBINS Attampage for Proposed Defondent Intervenor
9			Attorneys for Proposed Defendant-Intervenor SOUTH SAN JOAQUIN IRRIGATION DISTRICT
10	DATED: April 27, 2020		VAN NESS FELDMAN, LLP
11			
12 13		By:	/S/ Jenna Mandell-Rice JENNA MANDELL-RICE, pro hac vice Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor
14			THE STATE WATER CONTRACTORS
15	Dated: April 27, 2020		
16	Dated. April 27, 2020		/s/ Daniel J. O'Hanlon
17			DANIEL J. O'HANLON Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedemann & Girard
18			A Professional Corporation 400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor
19			Sacramento, CA 95814
20			(916) 321-4500 (tel) (916) 321-4555 (fax)
			dohanlon@kmtg.com Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors, San Luis &
21			Delta-Mendota Water Authority and Westlands Water District
22			water District
23	Dated: April 27, 2020		
24			KAPLAN KIRSCH & ROCKWELL, LLP
25			By: /s/ Matthew G. Adams
26			Matthew G. Adams Sara V. Mogharabi
2728			Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor-Defendants Friant Water Authority and Arvin-Edison Water Storage District
			6

ORDER

Pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation, the Court hereby orders as follows:

- 1. A hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction is set for May 7, 2020, at 9:30 a.m. The hearing will be held via the Court's Zoom video conference system. The court will provide the parties with further details in advance of the hearing.
- 2. Federal Defendants may file a brief in opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction no later than noon Pacific Daylight Time on April 30, 2020. Federal Defendants' opposition brief is limited to no more than 25 pages.
- 3. Intervenor-Defendants may each file a brief in opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction no later than noon Pacific Daylight Time on April 30, 2020. Any submission by intervenors must be strictly limited to issues and opinions truly unique to the intervenor. Intervenor-Defendants' opposition briefs are limited to no more than seven pages each.
- 7. Plaintiffs may file a single, coordinated reply brief to all oppositions no later than 11:59 p.m. Pacific Daylight Time on May 3, 2020. Plaintiffs must make every effort to file their reply brief sooner, if possible. Plaintiffs' reply brief is limited to no more than 15 pages, plus three pages for each Intervenor-Defendant opposition timely filed, except that Plaintiffs are entitled to only one extra page in reply to the Sacramento River Intervenors' one-page combined opposition brief.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **April 27, 2020**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE